REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-26 are currently pending in the application. Claims 1-23 stand objected to for various informalities. Claims 14-18 stand rejected as being indefinite. Claims 24 and 26 stand rejected as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,392,650 (O'Brien).

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for her consideration of the application. The applicant requests reconsideration of the amended claims in view of the following remarks.

Paragraphs 1-3 of the Office Action

The Examiner objected to claims 1-23 because of various claim informalities. The Examiner also rejected claims 14-18 under 35 U.S.C. section 112 as being indefinite due to lack of antecedent basis for the phrase "the box suspension" in claim 14 and for the phrase "the plurality of stress relief members" in claim 16.

The Applicant has amended the claims 1, 4, 9, 11, 12, 14, 18, 19, and 23 to overcome the Examiner's objections to claims 1-23. The Applicant has also amended claim 14 to remove the phrase "the box suspension" and has cancelled claim 16 without prejudice. As a result of these amendments, claims 1-15 and 17-23 are now believed to be in allowable form.

Paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Office Action

The Examiner rejected claims 24 and 26 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,392,650 but indicated that claim 25 includes allowable subject matter. Applicant has chosen to accept the subject matter deemed allowable by the Examiner. Therefore, Applicant has amended claim 24 to include the limitations from dependent claim 25 and has cancelled claim 25. Claim 24 is now believed to be in allowable form. Because a dependent claim is deemed to include all limitations of its base claim and any intervening claims, claim 26 is also believed to be in allowable form.

All pending claims, as amended, are believed to be in a form suitable for allowance. Therefore, the application is believed to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicant respectfully requests early allowance of the application. The Applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned, Jeffrey T. Klayman, if it will assist further examination of this application.

Appl. No. 10/646,332 Amdt. Dated August 24, 2005 Reply to Office action of May 25, 2005

The applicants do not believe any extension of time is required for timely consideration of this response. In the event that an extension has been overlooked, this conditional petition of extension is hereby submitted, and Applicants request that deposit account number 19-4972 be charged for any fees that may be required for the timely consideration of this application.

Date: August 25, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey T. Klayman Registration No. 39,250

Attorney for Applicants

Bromberg & Sunstein LLP 125 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1618

Tel: (617) 443-9292 Fax: (617) 443-0004

02550/00189 426676.1